

## Some Remarks on the Existence of Solutions of Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces

by Yasuhiko YABU\* and Shigeo KATO\*\*

(Received September 25, 1985)

### Abstract

The existence of the solutions of the Cauchy problem

$$x' = f(t, x), \quad x(0) = x_0 \in E$$

in a Banach space  $E$  are studied. We give a sufficient condition for the above equation to have a unique solution, and prove that the successive approximations for the above equation converge weakly to the unique solution.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $E$  be a Banach space with the dual space  $E^*$ . The norms in  $E$  and  $E^*$  are denoted by  $\| \cdot \|$ . We denote by  $S(x, r)$  the closed ball of center  $x$  with radius  $r$ . In this paper we consider the Cauchy problem

$$(CP) \quad x' = f(t, x), \quad x(0) = x_0 \in E,$$

where  $f$  is  $E$ -valued mapping defined on  $[0, a] \times S(x_0, r)$ . By a solution of (CP), we mean a strongly absolutely continuous function  $u$  defined on some interval  $[0, T]$  ( $0 < T \leq a$ ) satisfying  $u(0) = x_0$  and  $u'(t) = f(t, u(t))$  for a. e.  $t \in [0, T]$ .

It is our object in this paper to give the weak convergence theorem for the successive approximations for (CP) under similar conditions to S. Kato [3] in which he treats the strong convergence. The techniques employed in this paper are similar to those of [3].

Throughout this paper we assume that  $E_\omega$ , the space  $E$  with its weak topology, is complete.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let  $f$  be a mapping from  $[0, a] \times S(x_0, r)$  into  $E$  satisfying the following conditions:

(F<sub>1</sub>)  $f(\cdot, x)$  is strongly measurable in  $t$  for each  $x \in S(x_0, r)$ , and for a. e.  $t \in [0, a]$ ,  $f(t, \cdot)$  is weakly continuous in  $x$ , ie.,  $f(t, \cdot)$  is continuous from  $S(x_0, r)$  with relative topology of  $E_\omega$  into  $E_\omega$ .

(F<sub>2</sub>) There exists an  $m \in L^1(0, a)$  such that

\* Department of General Education, Dōto College.

\*\* Department of Common Courses, Kitami Institute of Technology.

$\|f(t, x)\| \leq m(t)$  for a. e.  $t \in [0, a]$  and all  $x \in S(x_0, r)$ .

We define the successive approximations for (CP) as follows :

$$(1.1) \quad u_n(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, u_{n-1}(s)) ds \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where  $u_0$  is an arbitrary continuous function from  $[0, a]$  into  $S(x_0, r)$ .

To show the weak convergence of  $\{u_n\}$  we consider a Kamke-type uniqueness function  $g$  satisfying the following conditions :

(G<sub>1</sub>)  $g = g(t, \tau)$  is a function from  $(0, a] \times [0, 2r]$  into  $R^+$  which is measurable in  $t$  for each  $\tau$ , and continuous nondecreasing in  $\tau$  for each  $t$ .

(G<sub>2</sub>) For each  $\delta \in (0, a]$ ,  $w \equiv 0$  is the only absolutely continuous function defined on  $[0, \delta]$  which satisfies  $w(0) = 0$  and  $w'(t) = g(t, w(t))$  for a. e.  $t \in (0, \delta]$ .

(G<sub>3</sub>) There exists a function  $\alpha$  defined on  $(0, a]$  such that

$$g(t, \tau) \leq \alpha(t) \quad \text{for } (t, \tau) \in (0, a] \times [0, 2r]$$

and  $\alpha \in L^1(\varepsilon, a)$  for every  $\varepsilon \in (0, a)$ .

Before stating the main results we prepare the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let  $g$  satisfy the conditions (G<sub>1</sub>), (G<sub>2</sub>) and (G<sub>3</sub>), and let  $w$  be an absolutely continuous function from  $[0, a]$  to  $[0, 2r]$ . Suppose furthermore that  $w(0) = 0$  and

$$w'(t) \leq g(t, w(t)) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \in (0, a].$$

Then  $w \equiv 0$  on  $[0, a]$ .

For a proof see Lemma 2.3 in [5].

Lemma 2.2. Suppose that  $f$  satisfies (F<sub>1</sub>) and (F<sub>2</sub>). Then for each strongly measurable function  $z$  from  $[0, a]$  into  $S(x_0, r)$ ,  $f(\cdot, z(\cdot))$  is strongly measurable and Bochner integrable on  $[0, a]$ .

For a proof see Lemma 2.2 in [3].

### 3. Main results

Now, we can state the following main results.

Theorem. Suppose that (F<sub>1</sub>) and (F<sub>2</sub>) are satisfied. Suppose furthermore that, for each  $\phi \in E^*$ ,  $\|\phi\| = 1$ , there exists a function  $g_\phi$  satisfying (G<sub>1</sub>)-(G<sub>3</sub>) such that

$$(3.1) \quad |\langle f(t, x) - f(t, y), \phi \rangle| \leq g_\phi(t, |\langle x - y, \phi \rangle|)$$

for each  $(t, x), (t, y) \in (0, a] \times S(x_0, r)$ . Then the successive approximations  $\{u_n\}$  defined by (1.1) converge weakly uniformly on some interval  $[0, T]$  to a unique solution  $u$  of (CP). Here, we denote by  $\langle x, \phi \rangle$  the value of  $\phi$  at  $x$ .

Corollary. In Theorem, if  $f$  is weakly continuous on  $[0, a] \times S(x_0, r)$ , then the conclusion of Theorem holds true. Moreover,  $u$  is weakly continuously differentiable on  $[0, T]$  and satisfies (CP) for every  $t \in [0, T]$  in the sense of

weak derivative.

#### 4. Proof of main results

Proof of Theorem. Let  $T \in (0, a]$  be such that  $\int_0^T m(t) dt < r$  and set  $I = [0, T]$ . We remark first that, by the assumptions  $(F_1)$ ,  $(F_2)$  and Lemma 2.2,  $f(\cdot, z(\cdot))$  is strongly measurable and Bochner integrable on  $I$  for each strongly measurable function  $z: I \rightarrow S(x_0, r)$ .

Let  $\{u_n\}$  be the sequence of successive approximations for (CP) defined by

$$(4.1) \quad u_n(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t f(s, u_{n-1}(s)) ds \quad (t \in I, n \geq 1),$$

where  $u_0$  is an arbitrary continuous function from  $[0, a]$  into  $S(x_0, r)$ , and the integral is a Bochner sense.

It follows from (4.1) and  $(F_2)$  that

$$\|u_n(t) - x_0\| \leq \int_0^t \|f(s, u_{n-1}(s))\| ds \leq \int_0^t m(\tau) d\tau \leq r$$

for each  $t \in I$  and  $n \geq 1$ . This implies that  $u_n(t) \in S(x_0, r)$  for each  $t \in I$  and  $n \geq 1$ . On the other hand, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \|u_n(t) - u_n(s)\| \leq \left| \int_s^t \|f(\tau, u_{n-1}(\tau))\| d\tau \right| \leq \left| \int_s^t m(\tau) d\tau \right| \leq |M(t) - M(s)|$$

for each  $s, t \in I$  and  $n \geq 1$ , where  $M(t) = \int_0^t m(\tau) d\tau$  for  $t \in I$ .

Letting  $s=0$  in (4.2) we have

$$\|u_n(t)\| \leq \|u_n(0)\| + M(t) \leq \|x_0\| + M(T) \leq \|x_0\| + r,$$

and hence  $\{u_n\}$  is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded on  $I$ . For each  $\phi \in E^*$ ,  $\|\phi\|=1$ , we define the functions  $w_{m,n}(\cdot; \phi)$  and  $w_n(\cdot; \phi)$  by

$$w_{m,n}(t; \phi) = |\langle u_m(t) - u_n(t), \phi \rangle| \quad (t \in I, m \geq n \geq 1)$$

and

$$w_n(t; \phi) = \sup \{w_{m,n}(t; \phi); m \geq n\} \quad (t \in I, n \geq 1).$$

Then, by (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w_{m,n}(t; \phi) - w_{m,n}(s; \phi)| &= | |\langle u_m(t) - u_n(t), \phi \rangle| - |\langle u_m(s) - u_n(s), \phi \rangle| | \\ &\leq | \langle u_m(t) - u_m(s), \phi \rangle - \langle u_n(t) - u_n(s), \phi \rangle | \\ &\leq \|u_m(t) - u_m(s)\| + \|u_n(t) - u_n(s)\| \leq 2|M(t) - M(s)| \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |w_n(t; \phi) - w_n(s; \phi)| &\leq \sup \{ |w_{m,n}(t; \phi) - w_{m,n}(s; \phi)|; m \geq n \} \\ &\leq 2|M(t) - M(s)| \end{aligned}$$

for all  $s, t \in I$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Thus we have the following.

$$(4.3) \quad \omega_{n+1}(t; \phi) \leq \omega_n(t; \phi) \quad (t \in I, n \geq 1).$$

(4.4)  $\omega_n(\cdot; \phi)$  is absolutely continuous on  $I$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , and  $\{\omega_n(\cdot; \phi)\}$  is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded on  $I$ .

$$(4.5) \quad \omega_n(0; \phi) = 0 \quad (n \geq 1).$$

From (4.3), there exists a function  $\omega(\cdot; \phi)$  from  $I$  to  $R^+$  such that  $\omega_n(\cdot; \phi) \rightarrow \omega(\cdot; \phi)$  pointwise on  $I$ . On the other hand, (4.4) implies that the convergence  $\omega_n(\cdot; \phi) \rightarrow \omega(\cdot; \phi)$  is uniform on  $I$ . It thus follows that  $\omega(\cdot; \phi)$  is absolutely continuous on  $I$ .

Let  $t$  and  $\Delta t > 0$  be such that  $t, t + \Delta t \in I$ . Then we have by (3.1) and  $(G_1)$

$$\begin{aligned} & |\omega_{m+1, n+1}(t + \Delta t; \phi) - \omega_{m+1, n+1}(t; \phi)| \\ &= |\langle u_{m+1}(t + \Delta t) - u_{m+1}(t), \phi \rangle - \langle u_{n+1}(t + \Delta t) - u_{n+1}(t), \phi \rangle| \\ &= |\langle \int_t^{t+\Delta t} f(s, u_m(s)) ds, \phi \rangle - \langle \int_t^{t+\Delta t} f(s, u_n(s)) ds, \phi \rangle| \\ &= |\int_t^{t+\Delta t} \langle f(s, u_m(s)) - f(s, u_n(s)), \phi \rangle ds| \\ &\leq \int_t^{t+\Delta t} g_\phi(s, \omega_{m, n}(s; \phi)) ds \leq \int_t^{t+\Delta t} g_\phi(s, \omega_n(s; \phi)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega_n(\cdot; \phi) \rightarrow \omega(\cdot; \phi)$  uniformly on  $I$ , we have by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$|\omega(t + \Delta t; \phi) - \omega(t; \phi)| \leq \int_t^{t+\Delta t} g_\phi(s, \omega(s; \phi)) ds.$$

Thus we have

$$|\omega'(t; \phi)| \leq g_\phi(t, \omega(t; \phi)) \quad \text{for a. e. } t \in (0, T].$$

Since  $\omega(0; \phi) = 0$ , we deduce now that  $\omega(t; \phi) \equiv 0$  on  $I$  by Lemma 2.1, and this implies that  $\{u_n\}$  is weakly Cauchy uniformly on  $I$ . By virtue of the weak completeness of  $E$ ,  $\{u_n\}$  converges weakly uniformly on  $I$  to a certain weakly continuous function  $u$ .

We next show that  $u$  is a unique solution of (CP) on  $I$ . Let  $\phi \in E^*$ , then

$$\langle u_{n+1}(t), \phi \rangle = \langle x_0, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t \langle f(s, u_n(s)), \phi \rangle ds$$

for  $t \in I$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\{u_n\}$  converges weakly uniformly on  $I$  to  $u$ , we have by letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\langle u(t), \phi \rangle = \langle x_0, \phi \rangle + \int_0^t \langle f(s, u(s)), \phi \rangle ds$$

for  $t \in I$ . Here, we have used the dominated convergence theorem. Since  $\phi \in E^*$  is arbitrary,  $u$  is a solution of (CP) on  $I$ .

To show the uniqueness, let  $v$  be another solution of (CP) on  $I$  and let  $\omega(t) = |\langle u(t) - v(t), \phi \rangle|$  for  $t \in I$ , where  $\phi \in E^*$ ,  $\|\phi\| = 1$ . Then  $\omega$  is absolutely continuous on  $I$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega'(t) &\leq |\langle f(t, u(t)) - f(t, v(t)), \phi \rangle| \\ &\leq g_\phi(t, |\langle u(t) - v(t), \phi \rangle|) = g_\phi(t, \omega(t)) \end{aligned}$$

for *a. e. t*  $\in (0, T]$ . Since  $\omega(0) = 0$ , it then follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\omega \equiv 0$  on *I*. This completes the proof of Theorem.

**Proof of Corollary.** The weak continuity of *f* on  $[0, a] \times S(x_0, r)$  satisfies the conditions (F<sub>1</sub>) and (F<sub>2</sub>). Now, the desired result follows from the weak continuity of *f*(*t*, *u*(*t*)) on *I*.

### References

- 1) Cramer, E., Lakshmikantham, V. and Mitchell, A. R.: On the Existence of Weak Solutions of Differential Equations in Nonreflexive Spaces, *J. Nonlinear Analysis, TMA.*, 2 (1978), 169-177.
- 2) Flett, T. M.: Some Applications of Zygmund's Lemma to Nonlinear Differential Equations in Banach and Hilbert Spaces, *Studia Mathematica.*, XLIV., (1972), 335-344.
- 3) Kato, S.: On the Convergence of the Successive Approximations for Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj.*, 21 (1978), 43-52.
- 4) Kato, S.: On Existence and Uniqueness Conditions for Nonlinear Ordinary Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj.*, 19 (1976), 239-245.
- 5) Kato, S.: Some Remarks on Nonlinear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, *Hokkaido Math. J.*, 4 (1975), 205-226.