

Note on Convex Subgroups of Partially Ordered Abelian Groups

by Kiro ISOBE*

(Received April 30, 1975)

Let G be a partially ordered abelian group and generated by G^+ .

For two convex subgroups H and K of G , looking at the question generally we cannot obtain that $H+K$ is convex. We show some examples in such a case and we investigate into the case that $H+K$ is convex.

1. Notation and terminology. All groups considered herein are abelian. Let G be a partially ordered abelian group and generated by G^+ . We shall say that the order in G is *normal*, if a integer $n > 0$ and $na \geq 0$ ($a \in G$) implies $a \geq 0$. To every element $a \in G$ we define the notation P_a such that $P_a = \{x : 0 \leq x \leq b \text{ for every } b \geq a \text{ and } b \geq 0\}$. It is clear that $a \leq b$ implies $P_a \subset P_b$. To a set $S \subset G$ we shall also say that S is *essentially convex*, if $a \in H$ implies $P_a \subset H$. Of course, if S is essentially convex, then S is convex. We shall say that the order in G is *semilattice*, if $P_a = (0)$ implies $a \leq 0$. Of course, if the order in G is lattice, then it is semilattice.

2. Some examples. Totality of all convex subgroups of G is lattice ordered set, namely, if H and K are two convex subgroups of G , then $H \wedge K = H \cap K$ and $H \vee K = \bigcap \{X : X \text{ convex subgroup, } X \supset H+K\}$. Generally $H \vee K$ do not coincide with $H+K$.

Example 1. Assuming that the order in G is normal, it is clear that the subgroup $(na : n \text{ integer})$ is convex, if an element $a \in G$ cannot compare to 0. Let G be 2-dimensional integer group. $G = \{(m, n) : m, n \text{ integers}\}$.

We define $(m, n) \leq (m', n')$, if $m \leq m'$ and $n \leq n'$. We put $H = \{(-m, m) : m \text{ integer}\}$ and $K = \{(-n, 3n) : n \text{ integer}\}$. H and K are two convex subgroups of G but $H+K$ is not convex. In fact,

$$H+K = \{(-m-n, m+3n) : m, n \text{ integers}\}.$$

We have $0 < (1, 4) < (2, 6) = (6, -6) + (-4, 12) \in H+K$ but $(1, 4) \notin H+K$. Because, if $1 = -m-n$ and $4 = m+3n$, then $n = \frac{5}{2}$ is not an integer.

Example 2. In example 1, there is no strictly positive element in both H and K . We consider to the case that there is a strictly positive element in H or K . Let G be the same group in example 1. We put

$$H = \{(0, m) : m \text{ integer}\} \text{ and } K = \{(-2n, n) : n \text{ integer}\}.$$

Thus H and K are two convex subgroups of G and H contains a strictly

* Department of Liberal Arts, Kitami Institute of Technology

positive element but $H+K$ is not convex. In fact,

$$H+K = \{(-2n, m+n) : m, n \text{ integers}\}.$$

Though $0 < (1,0) < (2,0) = (0,1) + (-2, -1) \in H+K$, we have $(1,0) \notin H+K$.
 Because, if $1 = -2n$ and $0 = m+n$, then $n = -\frac{1}{2}$ is not an integer.

Example 3. We consider to the case that there are strictly positive elements in two convex subgroups H and K of G both. Let G be 3-dimensional integer group. $G = \{(l, m, n) : l, m, n \text{ integers}\}$. We define $(l, m, n) \leq (l', m', n')$, if and only if, $l < l'$ and $m < m'$ or $l = l'$, $m = m'$ and $n \leq n'$. We put

$$a = (1, -7, 1), \quad b = (-1, 7, 0) \text{ and} \\
 A = \{ka : k \text{ integer}\}, \quad B = \{lb : l \text{ integer}\}, \quad H = A + B.$$

Furthermore we put $c = (5, -1, 1)$, $d = (-5, 1, 0)$ and $C = \{mc : m \text{ integer}\}$, $D = \{nd : n \text{ integer}\}$, $K = C + D$. H is convex subgroup. In fact, if

$$0 \leq (x, y, z) \leq (k, -7k, k) + (-l, 7l, 0) = (k-l, -7k+7l, k) \in H,$$

then $k-l=0$ and $x=y=0$. Thus $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, z) = (z, -7z, z) + (-z, 7z, 0) \in H$.

Similarly K is convex subgroup. It is clear that both of H and K have strictly positive elements.

We have $H+K = \{ka + lb + mc : k, l, m \text{ integers}\}$

$$= \{(k-l+5m, -7k+7l-m, k+m) : k, l, m, \text{ integers}\}, \text{ since } a+b-c=d.$$

Although $0 < (2, 4, 0) < (4, 6, 0) = (5, -1, 1) - (-1, -7, 1) = c - a \in H+K$, we have $(2, 4, 0) \notin H+K$. Because, if $2 = k-l+5m$, $4 = -7k+7l-m$ and $0 = k+m$, then $k = -\frac{9}{17}$ is not an integer.

3. Theorem for $H \vee K = H + K$. Let G be semilattice ordered. We assume that two subgroups H and K of G are essentially convex and $H \cap K = (0)$.

Proposition. If $0 \leq h+k$, $h \in H$ and $k \in K$, then $0 \leq h$ and $0 \leq k$.

Proof. Since $-h \leq k$, we have $P_{-h} \subset P_k$ and $P_{-h} \subset H \cap K = (0)$. Thus $-h \leq 0$, consequently $h \geq 0$ and similarly $k \geq 0$.

Theorem. $H+K$ is convex, if and only if, $0 \leq x \leq h+k$, $h \in H$ and $k \in K$ implies $x \wedge h$ and $x \wedge k$ exist.

Proof. We assume that $H+K$ is convex. If $0 \leq x \leq h+k$, $h \in H$, and $k \in K$, then $0 \leq x = x_1 + x_2 \leq h+k$, $x_1 \in H$ and $x_2 \in K$. Thus $0 \leq (h-x_1) + (k-x_2)$, $h-x_1 \in H$ and $k-x_2 \in K$ and we have $x_1 \leq h$ and $x_2 \leq k$. It is clear that $x_1 \leq x$ and $x_2 \leq x$, since $x_1 \geq 0$ and $x_2 \geq 0$. On the other hand, if $y \leq h$ and $y \leq x = x_1 + x_2$, then $y - x_1 \leq h - x_1$, $y - x_1 \leq x_2$ and $P_{y-x_1} \subset H \cap K = (0)$. Thus $y \leq x_1$, consequently $x_1 = x \wedge h$ and similarly $x_2 = x \wedge k$.

Conversely we assume that if $0 \leq x \leq h+k$, $h \in H$ and $k \in K$, then $h \wedge x$ and $k \wedge x$ exist.

$$(x \wedge h) + (x \wedge k) = (2x) \wedge (h+x) \wedge (x+k) \wedge (h+k) \geq x.$$

On the other hand, since $h \wedge k = 0$, we have $h + k = h \vee k$.

$$x = (h + k) \wedge x = (h \vee k) \wedge x = (h \wedge x) \vee (k \wedge x) = (h \wedge x) + (k \wedge x).$$

Consequently $x = (x \wedge h) + (x \wedge k) \in H + K$.

4. Supplement. We consider to a case that a partially ordered abelian group is not normal.

Example 4. Let G be a totality of all integers. For two elements x and y of G we define $x \leq y$, if and only if, $x = y$ or $y - x$ is positive even integer.

Thus the element 'a positive odd integer n ' cannot compare with 0 but $n = n = 2 \cdot n > 0$. Consequently G is not normal.

In example 4 G is not generated by G^+ .

Example 5. Let G be 2-dimensional integer group. For two elements (m, n) and (m', n') we define $(m, n) \leq (m', n')$, if and only if, $m < m'$ or $m = m'$ and $n \leq n'$ (sense in example 4). Thus for a positive odd integer n , the element $(0, n)$ cannot compare with 0 but $(0, 2n) = 2 \cdot (0, n) > 0$. Consequently G is not normal and generated by G^+ .

References

- [1] Paul Hill and Joe L. Mott: Embedding theorems and generalized discrete ordered abelian groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **Vol. 175**, 1973.
- [2] K. Isobe: Some properties of a certain partially ordered linear space. Mem. Kitami Inst. Tech. **Vol. 3**, No. 2, 1972.
- [3] K. Isobe: Some examples in semi-ordered linear space. Mem. Kitami Inst. Tech. **Vol. 2**, No. 2, 1968.